

**REMARKS**

Initially, in the Office Action dated December 24, 2003, the Examiner rejects claims 1, 6-11, 14-21, 24-30, 33-36 and 38-42 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,615,384 (Allard et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,899,138 (Araki et al.). Claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 22, 23, 31, 32 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al. in view of Allard et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,914,624 (Dunthorn).

By the present response, Applicants have amended claims 1, 9, 19 and 28 to further clarify the invention. Applicants have submitted new claims 43-50 for consideration by the Examiner and submit that these claims do not contain any prohibited new matter. Claims 1, 4-36 and 38-50 remain pending in the present application.

**Examiner Interview**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the personal interview held on March 12, 2004. At the interview, agreement was reached that the claim limitation "receiving configuration information at the wireless device from a server to configure user profile specific user interface settings and/or terminal specific user interface settings" would overcome the Allard reference.

**35 U.S.C. §103 Rejections**

Claims 1, 6-11, 14-21, 24-30, 33-36 and 38-42 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Allard et al. in view of Araki et al. These are the same rejections presented in the previous Office Action and, therefore,

Applicants resubmit all arguments submitted in Applicants' previously-filed response. Applicants provide the following additional remarks.

Regarding claims 1, 9, 19 and 28, Applicants submit that neither Allard et al. nor Araki et al., taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations in the combination of each of these claims of, inter alia, receiving configuration information at a wireless device from a server to configure user profile specific interface settings and/or terminal specific user interface settings. The Examiner asserts that Allard et al. discloses receiving configuration information at a wireless device from a server by the disclosure of email and Fig. 4. However, as has been noted in Applicants' previously-filed responses, Fig. 4 merely illustrates a sequence of screens beginning with a first screen that appears when the PC is turned on, showing how to get into zoom and pan functions, where one screen, 38, shows an e-mail selection option. This is not receiving configuration information at a wireless device from a server to configure user profile specific user interface settings and/or terminal specific interface settings, as recited in the claims of the present application. The disclosure of e-mail has nothing to do with configuration information as recited in the claims of the present application. Moreover, the disclosure in Allard et al. of a sequence of screens related to zoom and pan functions has nothing to do with configuration information related to user profile specific user interface settings and/or terminal specific user interface settings, as recited in the claims of the present application.

Regarding claims 6-8, 10, 11, 14-18, 20, 21, 24-27, 29, 33-36 and 38-42, Applicants submit that these claims are dependent on one of independent claims 1,

9, 19 and 28 and, therefore, are patentable at least for the same reasons noted regarding these independent claims. For example, none of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the location detector detecting the location of the object on the touch screen by detecting x and y coordinates of a point of contact of the object on the touch screen where the x and y coordinates correspond to a particular file location.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that neither Allard et al. nor Araki et al., taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations in the combination of each of claims 1, 6-11, 14-21, 24-30, 33-36 and 38-42 of the present application. Applicants respectfully request that these rejections be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

Claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 22, 23, 31 and 32 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al. in view of Allard et al. and further in view of Dunthorn. Applicants reassert all arguments submitted in response to these rejections in Applicants' previously-filed response. Specifically, Applicants submit that claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 22, 23, 31 and 32 are dependent on one of independent claims 1, 9, 19 and 28 and, therefore, are patentable at least for the same reasons noted previously regarding these independent claims. For example, Applicants submit that none of the cited references, taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose or suggest a touch detector detecting that the object is touching the touch screen by detecting a pressure of the object on the touch screen being greater than a predetermined value, or the another detector detecting when the object is no

longer touching the touch screen by detecting a pressure of the object on the touch screen being less than a predetermined value.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that none of the cited references, taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations in the combination of each of claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 22, 23, 31 and 32 of the present application. Applicants respectfully request that these rejections be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

New claims

Applicants have submitted new claims 43-50 for consideration by the Examiner and assert that these claims do not contain any prohibited new matter and are patentable over the cited references. Specifically, Applicants submit that none of the cited references, taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations in the combination of these claims of, inter alia, a system including at least one wireless device using a touch screen that includes at least one wireless device operatively connected to a network, a mobile display appliance (MDA) server providing services to the at least one wireless device, a business site that includes a global address server and a global upgrade server, the global address server providing a network address to each at least one wireless device of their respective MDA server, or a management server, the management server including a configuration to manager that controls at least one of user profile specific user interface configuration settings, terminal specific user interface configuration settings, software component upgrades and program upgrades, where each at least one wireless device includes stored instructions that when executed

cause the wireless device to receive configuration information from the management server to configure user profile specific user interface settings and/or terminal specific user interface settings. As noted previously, none of the cited references disclose or suggest receiving configuration information at a wireless device from a management server to configure user profile specific user interface settings and/or terminal specific user interface settings. Moreover, none of the cited references disclose or suggest a system with the various elements and functions as recited in the claims of the present application. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that these claims be entered and allowed.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that claims 1 and 4-36 and 38-50 are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of such claims is respectfully requested.

U.S. Application No. 09/714,941

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (referencing attorney docket no. 0171.38955X00).

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



---

Frederick D. Bailey  
Registration No. 42,282

FDB/sdb  
(703) 312-6600